Appl. No. 10/772,172 Amdt. dated January 3, 2007 Reply to Final Office Action of August 2, 2006

REMARKS

This amendment responds to the Office Action dated August 2, 2006.

The Examiner rejected claims 4-9 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Each of claims 4-9 has been amended to overcome the Examiner's rejection.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. §101 as claiming the same invention as claims 1-8 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,825,677. Independent claim 1, from which claims 2 and 3 depend, has been amended to overcome the Examiner's statutory double patenting rejection.

Enclosed is a Terminal Disclaimer that obviates a non-statutory double patenting rejection.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of claims 2-9.

Respectfully submitted,

Kurt Rohlfs

Reg. No. 54,405

Tel: (503) 227-5631